

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
METHODOLOGIES.....	5
Chapter 1. The Notion of Permanent Establishment in International Tax Law	7
1.1 Premise.....	7
1.2 Historical Evolution of the PE Concept.....	8
1.2.1 <i>Origins and early applications of Permanent Establishment.....</i>	<i>8</i>
1.2.2 <i>Updates and Revisions in International Tax Treaties</i>	<i>12</i>
1.2.3 <i>Evolution in response to Digitalization and modern business practices</i>	<i>13</i>
1.3 Sources and Challenges of PE Regulation.....	18
1.3.1 <i>Main source: the OECD Model Tax Convention</i>	<i>18</i>
1.3.2 <i>International Double Taxation: Causes and Treaty Solutions</i>	<i>19</i>
1.3.3 <i>Anti-Tax Avoidance Regulations and BEPS Initiative</i>	<i>21</i>
1.4 Definition and Interpretation of Permanent Establishment Under the OECD Model Convention	24
1.4.1 <i>PE concept under OECD Art. 5: the Positive list.....</i>	<i>24</i>
1.4.2 <i>OECD Art. 5: Negative List and a Revision of Last Paragraphs</i>	<i>31</i>
1.4.3 <i>Art. 7 OECD MC: Allocation of Business Profit to PE.....</i>	<i>37</i>
1.4.4 <i>Art. 9 OECD MC: Taxation on Income and Transfer Price.....</i>	<i>40</i>
Chapter 2. Modes of Establishment Abroad: Branch and Autonomous Subsidiary Compared.....	46
2.1 Definitions and Basic Terminology.....	46
2.1.1 <i>Premise</i>	<i>46</i>
2.1.2 <i>Foreign Direct Investment: A Theoretical Framework.....</i>	<i>46</i>
2.1.2 <i>Organizational Structures for Foreign Operations</i>	<i>49</i>
2.1.3 <i>Legal Forms: Branch vs. Autonomous Subsidiary</i>	<i>53</i>
2.2 Main Differences Between Branch and Autonomous Subsidiary	56
2.2.1 <i>Corporate Aspects.....</i>	<i>56</i>
2.2.2 <i>Accounting Aspects</i>	<i>58</i>
2.2.3 <i>Fiscal Aspects.....</i>	<i>59</i>
2.2.4 <i>Comparative Summary</i>	<i>64</i>
2.3 Impacts of the Beps Project on Branches and Subsidiaries in International Tax Structures	67

2.3.1 <i>Practical effects on foreign branches and autonomous subsidiaries: how profit allocation strategies are changing</i>	67
2.3.2 <i>Evolution of the notion of PE</i>	70
Chapter 3. Legal And Tax Framework of PE in Italy and France: A Comparative Perspective	74
3.1 The Legal Framework of Permanent Establishment in Italy	74
3.1.1 <i>Premise</i>	74
3.1.2 <i>Definition of Permanent Establishment Under Italian Law and TUIR</i>	76
3.1.3 <i>Taxation of PE Income under the TUIR</i>	81
3.1.4 <i>PE in Italy: Risks and implications for Foreign Companies</i>	86
3.2 The Legal Framework of Permanent Establishment in France	90
3.2.1 <i>Premise</i>	90
3.2.2 <i>The concept of a permanent establishment under French legislation</i>	91
3.2.3 <i>French Law Regarding the Taxation of Permanent Establishment Income</i>	94
3.2.4 <i>The French General Anti-Abuse Rule (GAAR) under Article 205 CGI</i>	97
3.3 Comparative Analysis: Identifying the Main Differences.....	100
3.3.1 <i>Premise</i>	100
3.3.2 <i>Criteria for establishing a PE</i>	101
3.3.3 <i>Different strategy for Digital Economy and Anti-abuse rules</i>	101
3.3.4 <i>Distinctions in the Field of Taxation</i>	103
Chapter 4. Can A Formally Autonomous Subsidiary Be Considered a Pe? Case Study: San Marco France	104
4.1. <i>Premise</i>	104
4.2 San Marco Group Spa: Background and Choices of Strategic Expansion	105
4.2.1 <i>Historical Foundations and Evolution</i>	105
4.2.2 <i>San Marco Group's Needs and Goals: Internationalization as a Growth Driver</i>	108
4.2.3 <i>Why France? Strategic and Economic Advantages</i>	113
4.3 Tax Risks Related to Permanent Establishment in Foreign Activities	116
4.3.1 <i>A Preliminary Analysis of Foreign Investment Under OECD</i>	116
4.3.2 <i>Managing permanent establishment exposure in multinational groups</i>	119
4.3.3 <i>Taxation and Compliance Obligations for San Marco France</i>	120
CONCLUSION	125
REFERENCES	128